## CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF FOOD AND AGRICULTURE AND THE NATURAL RESOURCES AGENCY

## CALIFORNIA INVASIVE SPECIES ADVISORY COMMITTEE DRAFT STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

LISTENING SESSION WITH COMMENTS FROM THE AUDIENCE,
E-MAIL, AND WEBCAST/TELEPHONIC AUDIENCE

THURSDAY, MAY 12, 2011 - 5:30 P.M. to 7:30 P.M.

CALIFORNIA ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

COASTAL HEARING ROOM, SECOND FLOOR

1001 I STREET

SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTED BY: HOWARD A. TORCH, CSR NO. 11248

1	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA; THURSDAY, MAY 12, 2011
2	AT 5:30 P.M.
3	* * *
4	
5	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: Now I would like to
6	introduce Mr. Doug Johnson.
7	You may begin, sir.
8	MR. JOHNSON: Great. Thank you.
9	And thank you, everybody in the room and
10	everybody tuning in, for being patient while the
11	technology got hooked up.
12	Yes, my name is Doug Johnson. I chair the
13	Invasive Species Advisory Committee
14	Sorry. Shall I start over with the mic on?
15	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: There you go.
16	MR. JOHNSON: It might be good.
17	Good evening. My name is Doug Johnson. I
18	chair the California Invasive Species Advisory
19	Committee, and I want to welcome you to tonight's public
20	listening session for the second draft of the document
21	stopping the spread, of strategic framework, for
22	protecting California from invasive species.
23	I'm here with several other members of the
24	committee. I want to introduce myself a little bit more
25	and then ask each of them to introduce themselves, as

well.

2.

I'm the executive director of the California Invasive Plant Council, a nonprofit environmental organization in Berkeley, and our work focuses on wildland weeds and keeping them from impacting the ecology of California through science, education, and policy.

We have around 1,000 members statewide.

They're primarily natural resource managers who work in parks and preserves.

MS. CONSER: Thanks, Doug. My name is
Christiana Conser, and I work for the San Francisco
nonprofit organization Sustainable Conservation, and our
Plant Right Campaign works with the nursery industry in
California to prevent the sale of invasive plants, known
invasive plants, and also new-plant introductions.

MR. GODFREY: Thank you. My name is

Larry Godfrey from the Entomology Department at UC

Davis, and since many of the invasive species are

insects, it's probably obvious, my interest in invasive

species. I conduct research and extension and

management programs on invasive species.

MS. FOX: I'm Andrea Fox with the California
Farm Bureau. I'm also vice chair of the California
Invasive Species Advisory Committee. I'm a policy

advisor for the Farm Bureau. I became involved in the invasive species, because for farmers and ranchers, insect pests and other invasive species are an everyday reality, and something that we must deal with.

MS. PETERSON: My name is Betsy Peterson. I'm director of technical services for the California

Association of Nurseries and Garden Centers, and also the California Seed Association. And I've served on the CalHIP Steering Committee, now the Plant Right Campaign, since its inception about six years ago.

My involvement in the invasive species is -- is invasive pests of all kinds impact our agricultural associations that we represent on a daily basis, and we're always looking for new ways to mitigate and to protect the farmer and ultimately the consumer in California.

MR. GUISE: Hello. I'm Vince Guise. I'm the agricultural commissioner of Contra Costa County, and I'm involved, of course, in trying to keep invasives out of the State, for over 37 years now, and also to try to protect our agriculture, the citizens of the State, and the environment from invasive species.

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you all. I think this may have gotten lost when I started without the microphone on, but I do want to thank everybody for being patient

with our late start as we got the technology going.

2.

Also, I want to say before I -- give a little bit of background on the organization that we represent, the Advisory Committee, and the strategic framework, that the information presented tonight is all available online. Many folks may already have used this to find out about tonight's meeting, but www.iscc -- for Invasive Species Council of California -- .ca.gov.

So first I want to give a little background on the Invasive Species Council of California as well as the advisory committee that we serve on.

The Invasive Species Council of California was formed by six State departments in 2009 to coordinate and strengthen the State's response to invasive species.

The ISCC comprises the secretaries of those six agencies. It is chaired by the Secretary of the Department of Food and Agriculture, vice chaired by the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency. And then other agencies on the committee are the California Environmental Protection Agency; the Business Transportation and Housing Agency, where Caltrans is; the Emergency Management Agency; and the Health & Human Services Agency.

The Invasive Species Council formed an advisory committee, the California Invasive Species Advisory

Committee, or CISAC, which we serve on, along with other stakeholder representatives.

2.

There are 24 stakeholder representative positions on the advisory committee, and we represent a number of constituencies. As you'll see tonight, we have representatives from environmental organizations as well as representatives from agricultural organizations -- we have academia, we have federal and local agencies, and lots of broad representation.

But being that this issue touches on virtually every Californian, one of the primary goals of the advisory committee is to enhance to the degree of public engagement and to get all the viewpoints and all the expertise in the states to bring to bear on these problems, so tonight's listening session can definitely contribute to that, so we're pleased that you're here.

Now, as one of the primary tasks of the advisory committee, we have been charged with developing a strategic planning document to help California agencies strengthen response to invasive species, and this framework document that we're looking for public input on tonight and until June 17th is our effort to address that, that task.

Now, fortunately, we have a lot to build on. I brought tonight some of the resources we used. This is

the National Invasive Species Management Plan, passed in 2001 and revisited in 2008 with their major revision.

Other states, some 20 have plans like this. We picked, in particular, Minnesota's plan, the Arizona Invasive Species Council's plan, and the Washington State Invasive Species Council's plan as good models for both content and structure of a plan.

And in addition, we already have some plans existing in California that we built on. This is the 2005 California Noxious and Invasive Weed Action Plan and the 2008 California Aquatic Invasive Species Management Plan.

So what we developed is meant to be a fairly high-level umbrella plan that encompasses these kinds of plans that have a lot of detail in them about what can be done for certain types of invasive species.

Now, the strategic framework presents some 40 recommendations in various -- various categories for ways a state can strengthen their response to invasive species, and this is what we're looking for your input on tonight.

I'll just mention that there are -- some of the high-priority ones that are called out in the executive summary to focus on prevention. We agree on the Committee that that is the highest-priority place to

focus or efforts to make sure that invasive species are kept from being intruded into the state in the first place, because that eliminates the need for expensive programs to address them.

So those two items include actually identifying pathways that are continually evolving with all the transport and transit and travel.

Currently, there are a number of pathways for invasive species to come in, and we don't feel like all of them have really been identified in detail, and then secondly, to have an active interagency working group to enhance coordination on those particular -- addressing those particular pathways.

Second, once -- once a species comes in, it's much easier and more cost effective to address it quickly before it can spread -- commonly called "early detection and rapid response." This is something we want to emphasize, as well.

There are a lot of programs already existing in agencies, and we want to make sure that those are as prepared as possible, so we're recommending a rapid-response working group so that responses can be thought out ahead of time and discussed and shared with the public to get input.

We also think that it is important to develop

and deliver a consistent message about invasive species focused on stewardship. We're all here because we feel like this issue is an important stewardship issue for California, stewarding our natural heritage, the environment, agriculture, and our public health. It depends on both of those. And then finally, securing long-term funding to support these kinds of programs.

Obviously in this day and age, budgets are tight and there are a lot of competing needs, so this issue, I think, needs to be looked at seriously, to look for long-term costs and the benefit of investing now to keep things from spreading and having more impact.

We're all aware of invasive species as a problem that continues to spread. It's worse than an oil spill, in that once you've spilled it, it continues to grow, as opposed to just have a finite amount of impact. So it's important to have steady funding to avoid backsliding and allowing invasive species to spread further.

So those are some of the priority actions.

There are lot of others in here, and you may have read
through and found some that particularly appeal or don't
appeal to you.

This is the second draft of this plan. We put out an earlier draft last year and went through a round

of public comments and had made revisions based on those comments. There is a document online located next to the framework document that lists the revisions that were made to the strategic framework based on public comments previously.

So this is a listening session. We want to listen to you. Before going to that, I want to just remind everybody of the breadth of issues that we're looking at here.

We're looking at invasive plants, invasive vertebrates, invertebrates, and invasive diseases.

We're looking at organisms that are on land, organisms that are on water. Some are more severe than others.

We know a lot more about some than we do about others, so there is always an uncertainty factor.

The goal here with this document is to provide a blueprint so that all stakeholders and agencies can move forward together in an effort to be as productive as possible in addressing invasive species in California.

And the goal of tonight's session is to make sure that we engage the public in commenting on the plan and pointing out ways that you think it could be strengthened.

So with that, if nobody has anything to add,

1	I'd like to open this up for public comment, and I think
2	it would be very useful to know, if you're able to tell
3	us, how many folks we have queued up.
4	I think our initial thought was to have
5	comments be timed for three minutes and to hold it to
6	that to make sure everybody gets a chance to be heard
7	from, and if there's time left at the end and folks want
8	to comment further, we can do that.
9	OPERATOR: There's no one online. Just one
10	gentleman here.
11	MR. JOHNSON: Let's start with comments from
12	the room. Anybody want to make a comment about the
13	document?
14	Okay. We'll wait for our online folks to join
15	in.
16	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We have nobody.
17	Do you want to give them the call-in number?
18	MR. JOHNSON: Are they seeing that, or are they
19	seeing us?
20	OPERATOR: You might want to repeat the call-in
21	number.
22	MR. JOHNSON: To ask a question, I'm going to
23	repeat the call-in number. 877-960-9070, and the
24	passwords is CISAC, C-I-S-A-C.
25	(One-minute pause in proceedings at 6:04 p.m.)

1	MR. GUISE: I just wondered if this, the
2	introduction and at least the part up to now where
3	before I started talking, is going to be online for
4	people to view later, if they want to, or is it only
5	written and e-mail comments that will be reviewed or
6	available to them to see later on, because I think you
7	did an excellent job on the introduction.
8	And I think the draft, the new draft, was very
9	responsive to previous listening sessions, so I think
10	it's all positive. You know, what you said was great.
11	MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. That's a good question. I
12	don't know if the video will be up on YouTube or
13	something. We'll have to ask.
14	MR. LUGO: Doug, it's going to be available for
15	both audio and video.
16	UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: You can download it
17	afterwards.
18	MR. JOHNSON: It sounds like the answer is
19	"yes."
20	If you're on the conference call and want to
21	ask a question, make sure
22	Is it "Star 1"?
23	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: Yes. To ask a question
24	over the phone, please press "Star 1."
25	MR. JOHNSON: Great. Thank you.

1	Please go ahead.
2	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: Our question comes from
3	Peter.
4	Your line is open, sir.
5	PETER: Hello, everyone. My name is Peter. I
6	appreciate the work that you're doing. I'm familiar
7	with many of the folks on the panel. I appreciate the
8	efforts that you're doing.
9	I don't have a question, per se, but I do want
10	to communicate on the comments here that we I think
11	what you've done, the framework, is quite good. I think
12	you've helped think through some of the coordination
13	issues.
14	I look forward to the cooperation that
15	hopefully will be implemented with this plan. I'm
16	particularly interested in having the State make sure
17	that they fund programs for the local counties so that
18	they can do their weed control and other pest control,
19	and I think there's great opportunity for the industries
20	to work together with nonprofits and, of course, our
21	resource agencies.
22	So great work, keep it up, and thanks again.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Thank you for your comment,
24	Peter.
25	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: At this time, there are no

1 questions over the phone. 2. MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Thank you. We'll hold on. 3 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: We do have a comment in the 4 room. 5 Is there a microphone? 6 UNIDENTIFIED MALE: There is. 7 UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Yes. 8 Hello. My name is MR. KAWAMURA: 9 I'm currently a farmer down in Southern A.G. Kawamura. 10 California where I grow produce and distribute it both 11 locally and internationally and nationally, and I wanted 12 to make a comment that the CISAC's work and the Invasive 13 Species Council, as well, is incredibly critical at this 14 time for many reasons. 15 I know last year in Cancun during the 16 conference on climate change there were some experts 17 that came from around the country, as well as myself, 18 and had a chance to have a side-meeting, if you will, 19 about invasive species, trying to make sure that the 20 topic of invasive species as it's affected by climate 21 change was entered into the record of events down there 22 during the climate conference. 23 We noted in many ways that some of the these 24 different, important aspects about things, matters that

would greatly affect food and life systems in this

25

world, are not being discussed widely during these kinds of dialogues that address climate change, that address resource depletion, that address hunger and different kinds of challenges for feeding a population of nine billion people on the planet.

2.

And so I think one of the things we wanted to do in that last instance, and hopefully this next year in Durban, at the next climate conference, and then several other conferences that address both life and food systems on the planet as we head into 2050 -- I'd like to ask this council and the advisory group to be especially focused on converging their activities with other invasive species councils around the country, converge their resources and activities with national groups that address invasive species and international groups, and see if we can't put together a platform.

If you can put together a platform, that helps educate and create awareness about what an enormous threat the challenges that come from invasive species of all taxonomies is to the progress and sustainability of life and food systems on the planet.

Without that, I think we unfortunately lose sight of really hard lessons that we've learned from the past, and more importantly, we start to see the potential for tremendous disruption of those same

1 systems because of a laziness of phytosanitary 2. attention, phytosanitary care, quarantine, and 3 infectious services that protect from the introduction 4 of various pets and diseases. 5 And we start to realize that that 6 infrastructure that has protected us for so well and so 7 long, as it starts to deteriorate, it creates more 8 vulnerabilities than ever, especially in a world that's 9 more complicated than ever with a lot of challenges. So I'd like to say thanks to this council and 10 11 this advisory committee especially for the great work 12 I'd like to insist, encourage, push, or you've done. 13 whatever kind of support you need. 14 I think the ag industry in general is very 15 supportive when they're reminded how important these 16 things are and at a time when I think many changes face 17 all kinds of endeavors from government because of 18 budgets, strains and stresses, critical infrastructure, 19 which is this component of exclusion, detection, and 20 diagnostics, are all part of the important 21 infrastructure that really needs to be in place. 22 applaud your efforts to help make that happen. 23

MR. JOHNSON: Thank you.

Anybody online?

24

25

So it sounds like we have nobody online, so now

16

And I

1	is your chance. Operators are standing by,
2	877-960-9070, and the passcode is C-I-S-A-C, and then
3	"Star 1" to queue up for a question or comment.
4	Once again, if you're joining us late online,
5	you can call in to provide a question or comment. I've
6	almost got this memorized now. 877-960-9070, and the
7	passcode is C-I-S-A-C, press "Star 1" to queue for a
8	question or comment. Thank you.
9	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: Excuse me. We do have a
10	question from John Hall.
11	Your line is open, sir.
12	MR. HALL: Hi, folks. This is John Hall, and I
13	just wanted to
14	Can you guys hear me?
15	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: Yes, we can hear you.
16	MR. HALL: Sorry. I've got the 30-second delay
17	on my website. I was hearing that.
18	Yeah, I just wanted to say that this is great
19	work that you guys are doing, and specifically I wanted
20	to give my support for the creation of early detection
21	that works throughout California focused on all taxonomy
22	species.
23	MR. JOHNSON: Great. Thank you for your
24	comment, John.
25	MR. HALL: Thank you. Bye.

TELEPHONE OPERATOR: There are no further questions or comments at this time.

Sir. Your line is open.

MR. HALL: Hi. Calling back. I do have a couple questions now. I had a chance to read the document, and I again appreciate your work.

Two questions: I'm reading that this plan was in part developed to dovetail with the federal government and what other states are doing. I'm interested in learning more about how this might work with the federal government's plan.

Recently I met -- kind of a collaborative weed management group meeting and was hearing from the federal government, of course, that their funds were being cut, and they were actually looking at the nonprofits to try to be doing more, so I'm wondering if you could talk a little bit more about how these partnerships might work.

And then the other thing I wanted to ask about is that I've recently heard about some of the funding being cut to the county -- to the counties and their weed control and other pest control programs, and am wondering in particular what those state cuts -- what those impacts may be.

I understand they may be closing diagnostic

labs and other resources and cuts to projects and what those setbacks might mean with respect to your ability to move this plan forward. Thanks.

MR. JOHNSON: Interesting comments, Peter. I think, unfortunately, this is meant to be a listening session, for taking comments, so we're not prepared to engage in a dialogue, but --

MR. HALL: Okay. Well, I thought there might be an opportunity to ask a question, so I appreciate that.

So then I think, from my own experience, my comments would be that, again, the State being able to find ways to keep those programs going, because in the long term, once you walk away from projects where work has been done, those areas are going to revert back to what they are trying to be, which might be weedy.

So it's important for the State to continue funding these efforts, because in the long run, if you walk away from those projects, you're going to have a rapid growth of -- and what I'm talking about here is invasive weeds particularly -- and so what you're going to do is you're going to lose ground in the long run.

Once those projects have to be dealt with again, it's going to cost a lot more money, and so I think trying to find some balance -- obviously

1	agriculture is important to the State, and so funding
2	for pest control to help keep our agriculture going is
3	very important.
4	But I'm hoping the State will try to find a
5	balance between not cutting all the weed-control
6	programs, weed-eradication programs, and hopefully we
7	can early detection and rapid response.
8	Anyways, keep up the good work. Thank you.
9	MR. JOHNSON: Thanks for calling back, Peter.
10	This is Doug Johnson. As chair, I'd like to
11	close this public listening session for this evening.
12	Thank you for participating.
13	TELEPHONE OPERATOR: Thank you for your
14	participation. You may disconnect.
15	(The proceedings adjourned at 7:30 p.m.)
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I hereby certify that the foregoing comments or
4	questions were taken down and reduced to typewritten
5	form under my direction, and that the foregoing pages
6	represent a true, correct, and complete record of the
7	proceedings.
8	The above certification is expressly withdrawn
9	and denied upon the disassembly or photocopying of the
10	foregoing transcript unless said disassembly or
11	photocopying is done under the auspices of Malibu Court
12	Reporters and the signature and original seal is
13	attached thereto.
14	I further certify that I am not a relative
15	or employee or attorney of any party, nor am I in any
16	way interested in the result of said cause.
17	
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have subscribed my name
19	this 24th day of May, 2011.
20	
21	Grant Banastan in and San Live Grant
22	Court Reporter in and for the County of Los Angeles, State of California